

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. 7200 | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/649,399 | 08/28/2000 | John F. Travers | 29939/30002 | | |
| 7590 11/05/2003 . | | | EXAMINER | | |
| BRYAN J. LI | | NOVOSAD, JENNIFER ELEANORE | | | |
| MARSHALL, 6300 SEARS T | GERSTEIN & BORUN OWER | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | VACKER DRIVE | 3634 | | | |
| CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357 | | | DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | | | \mathscr{V} | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| • | | Application N | lo. | icant(s) | \mathcal{A}_{-} | | | | |
| | | 09/649,399 | | TRAVERS ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| • | | Jennifer E. No | vosad | 3634 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| | • • | VIS SET TO E | YPIRE 3 MONTH | (S) FROM | | | | | |
| THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, holy within the statutory will apply and will experted to application. | owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE | nely filed vs will be considered timel the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 | September 200 | <u> 13</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | <u> </u> | his action is nor | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allow | | | rosecution as to th | ne merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,9-12 and 22-26 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from consid | leration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | Claim(s) 9-12 and 26 is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6) Claim(s) 3,4 and 22-25 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| · · | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/on Papers | or election requi | irement. | · | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>31 December 2001</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner | | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) [5) [6) [| | y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/649,399

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed September 16, 2003 (Paper No. 26). Accordingly, claims 1, 2, 5-8, 13-17, 19-21 have been canceled and claims 22-26 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3, 4, and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "the storage configuration" in lines 14-15 of claim 3 lacks proper antecedent basis in the claim. It is noted that the "storage configuration" is not properly set forth until line 17.

The use of the terms "it" in claim 22 and "its" in claims 22, 24, and 25 (see line 5) renders the claims indefinite since it is unclear what structure defines "it" and "its".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

.Application/Control Number: 09/649,399

Art Unit: 3634

•>

Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craft et al.

'184 in view of O'Donnell '718.

Craft *et al.* '184 disclose an assembly made from a synthetic resin (see column 3, lies 15-16) comprising a dish drainer (4) and a discrete and separate tray (14); the dish drainer (4) having a perforate base (at 32 in Figure 2) and a perimeter wall extending upward therefrom and thereby defining an interior; the assembly further comprising a first and a second compartment (at 26) which are contiguous with the perimeter wall and extending within the interior; the tray (14) being positionable in a first deployed position (see Figure 2) whereby the tray is disposed beneath the drainer and the tray having a footprint larger than the base of the drainer.

The claims differ from Craft *et al.* '184 in requiring the tray to have a live (claim 4) hinge (claim 3) so that the tray can be folded about the hinge and placed entirely within the interior of the dish drainer (see lines 17-18 of claim 3).

O'Donnell '718 teaches the principle of folding an element (12) about a live hinge so that the element can be compactly stored into a smaller container.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have folded the tray of Craft et al. '184 about a hinge, as taught by O'Donnell '718, so that the tray can be stored compactly within the drainer, thereby allowing for ease in use, manufacturing, and shipping.

Art Unit: 3634

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-12 and 26 are allowed <u>and</u> claims 22-25 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to show or suggest a drainer and tray set, as called for in claim 9,

whereby the set further comprises a compartment detachable from and attachable to the "tray". It

is noted that while the prior art of record shows various drainer and tray sets having a detachable

compartment, there is no motivation or teaching to have the detachable compartment attachable

to the tray, i.e., not the drainer.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3 and 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Novosad whose telephone number is (703)-305-2872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703)-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

.Application/Control Number: 09/649,399

Art Unit: 3634

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1113.

Examiner Art Unit 3634

Jennifer E. Novosad/jen October 27, 2003